

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,953	03/22/2004	Daniel P. Lawrence	589800194DVE	9892
27572	7590 02/08/2005		EXAM	INER
HARNESS, I P.O. BOX 828	DICKEY & PIERCE,	VIJAYAKUMAR, K	ALLAMBELLA M	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1751	-

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Conservation	10/805,953	LAWRENCE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kallambella Vijayakumar	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 N	lovember 2004					
,	-,					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
	_					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar					
· <u> </u>						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abovenee. See 37 CER 4.85(a)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	varianci. Note the attached Onic	e Action of form PTO-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicar In rity documents have been receive	tion No				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receiv	eu.				
Attachment(e)						
Attachment(s)	0 □	(070 440)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Linterview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(sVMail Date	6) Other	į				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 1751

Detailed Action

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Claims 1-4 are currently pending with the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isen et al (US 5,763,058) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Friend (US 5,098,771) or Morizumi et al (EP 014302).

Isen et al teach an article comprising an electrical circuit component printed on to one side of a substrate performing the circuit functions (Abstract). The prior art discloses forming the circuit by GRAVCO-PROCESS by printing the conductive ink containing a binder and

conductive particles such as carbon, Al, Ag, Au or a combination of these, and the thickness of the printed circuit ranged from one molecular layer to 200 microns per claims 1-2 (Col-4, Ln 16-19, Col-6, Ln 22-44, Col-10, Ln 59-68). With regards to claims 3-4, the prior art teaches forming interconnects between the layers and the conductive layers capable of infinite variations depending on the circuit (Col-9, Ln 18-24, 61-63).

Isen et al do not disclose the circuit/component formed by printing conductive ink containing conductive flake materials and a carboxylic acid/anhydride functional aromatic vinyl polymer as binder per the claims 1-2.

In the analogous art, Friend teaches forming electronic components by printing conductive inks containing Ag-flakes and graphite powder dispersed in a polymeric binder and its benefits (Col-1, Ln 54-58, Col-2, Ln 17-37; Col-4, Ln 17-25).

In the analogous art, Morizumi et al disclose a printed antenna circuit formed by printing a conductive ink comprising styrene/maleic copolymer and conductive particles (Col-5, Ln 2-8, 20-25, 35-39) by silk-screen printing, offset printing or gravure printing.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Isen et al and Friend, because Isen et al is suggestive of varying the choice of conductive powder in accordance with the component being designed (Col-6, Ln 41-44), and further combine with the teachings of Morizumi et al, because Isen et al is suggestive that conductive liquids/ink containing water-based dispersions could be used in lieu of solvent based dispersions, in order to benefit from dense conductive patterns and low organic emissions, and with reasonable expectation of success.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kallambella Vijayakumar whose telephone number is 571-272-1324. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 07.00 - 16.30 hrs, Alt. Fri: 07.00-15.30 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,953

Art Unit: 1751

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KMV February 01, 2005

Mark Kopec Primary Examiner